## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Docket No: Q68279 In re application of Hiroyuki TOMOIKE Group Art Unit: 2144 Application No.: 10/058,805 Examiner: Thanh T. NGUYEN Confirmation No.: 4726 Filed: January 30, 2002 MOBILE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND DATA TRANSFERRING METHOD For: FOR USE WITH MOBILE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 **MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir: Supplemental to the Appeal Brief Under 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 submitted on February 14, 2008 in response to the Final Office Action of May 4, 2007, Appellant submits the following in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 41.37: **Table of Contents** STATUS OF CLAIMS......2 I. ARGUMENT.....3 II.

## I. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-7 are all of the pending claims in the present Application.

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Martin, Jr. et al.(U.S. Patent 6,610,105) in view of Chern et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,381,465).

Claims 1-7 are the claims being Appealed.

All of the claims pending in the present application are set forth in their entirety in the Appendix below.

II. **ARGUMENT** 

Supplemental to the Appeal Brief filed on February 14, 2008, Appellant has identified the

claims on Appeal in the Status of Claims section. Appellant has also spoken with Patent Appeals

Specialist Reginald Tyson, who indicated that claim 7 as recited, in the Summary of Claimed

Subject Matter, sufficiently maps the claim to the specification. As such, Appellant respectfully

asserts that this section does not require additional amendments.

Appellant respectfully requests that the members of the Board consider the remarks

presented in the Appeal Brief submitted on February 14, 2008, and find each of the Appealed

claims allowable as defining subject matter that is patentable over the cited art of record.

This Appeal Brief is being filed via the USPTO Electronic Filing System (EFS).

Appellant herewith petitions the Director of the USPTO to extend the time for filing this Appeal

Brief for an appropriate length of time if necessary.

Appellant does not believe any fee is due under 37 C.F.R. §41.37(a) and 37 U.S.C. §

1.17(c), however the USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the

Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Artem N. Sokolov

Registration No. 61,325

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 5, 2008

3